

# **Contents**

1	Introduc	tion	1		
1.1	Overview		1		
1.2	Project Description				
1.3	Format and Structure of this Document				
2.	Record of	of Key Engagement	4		
2.2	Summary	of Key Engagement	4		
3.	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion				
3.1	Overview		16		
3.2	Project de	velopment, description and design	17		
3.3	Ecology ar	nd Biodiversity	23		
3.4	Contamina	ated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology	27		
3.5	Health and	Wellbeing (including Air Quality and Noise and Vibration)	30		
3.6	Historic Er	nvironment	34		
3.7	Hydrology	, Land Drainage and Flood Risk	38		
3.8	Landscape	e and Visual	41		
3.9	Socio-ecoi	nomics, Recreation and Tourism	44		
3.10	Traffic and	Transport	48		
3.11	Public Rights of Way (PRoW)				
3.12	Cumulative Effects				
3.13	Development Consent Order				
3.14	Other Matt	ers	67		
4.	Confirma	ation of Agreement	68		
	Table 2.1 Table 3.1	Summary of engagement between National Grid and NCC	4 16		
	Table 3.1 Table 3.2	Agreement status for matters presented in Section 3 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to project development,			
	Table 3.3	description and design matters  Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Ecology and Biodiversity	17 / 23		
	Table 3.4	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology	27		
	Table 3.5 Table 3.6 Table 3.7	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Health and Wellbeing Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Historic Environment Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Hydrology, Land Drainage.			
	Table 3.8 Table 3.9	and Flood Risk Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Landscape and Visual Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Socio-economics,	38 41		
	Table 3.10 Table 3.11	Recreation and Tourism  Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Traffic and Transport  Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Public Rights of Way	44 48 62		

Table 3.12 Table 3.13	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Cumulative Effects Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Development Consent Order	67
Abbreviation	S	69

### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Overview

- 1.1.1 This draft Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared by National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (referred to as National Grid within this document) and Norfolk County Council (NCC). It identifies areas of the Norwich to Tilbury Development Consent Order (DCO) application (the Application) where matters are agreed, under discussion or not agreed between the parties.
- 1.1.2 This SoCG has been structured to reflect topics of the Application which are relevant to NCC. The applicable matters considered within this SoCG apply to NCC's statutory remit. The following bullet points present the topics included in this SoCG (they are also presented in Section 3):
  - Project development, description and design
  - Ecology and Biodiversity
  - Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology
  - Health and Wellbeing (including Air Quality and Noise and Vibration)
  - Historic Environment
  - Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk
  - Landscape and Visual
  - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism
  - Traffic and Transport
  - Public Rights of Way (PRoW)
  - Cumulative Effects
  - Development Consent Order
  - Other Matters

Note: This draft SoCG has been prepared at an early phase of the DCO process, ahead of submission. It is intended to be a live and working document which will be updated as the Project progresses and shared with NCC at key points for discussion. A final SoCG will be prepared ahead of the close of the DCO Examination. Unlike a final SoCG, this draft SoCG has not been officially signed by either party.

### 1.2 Project Description

- 1.2.1 The Project is a proposal by National Grid to upgrade the electricity transmission system in East Anglia between Norwich and Tilbury, comprising:
  - A new 400 kilovolt (kV) electricity transmission connection of approximately 180 km overall length from Norwich Main Substation to Tilbury Substation via

Bramford Substation, a new East Anglia Connection Node (EACN) Substation and a new Tilbury North Substation, including:

- Approximately 159 km of new overhead line supported on approximately 509 pylons, either standard steel lattice pylons (approximately 50 m in height) or low height steel lattice pylons (approximately 40 m in height) and some of which would be gantries (typically up to 15 m in height) within proposed Cable Sealing End (CSE) compounds or existing or proposed substations
- Approximately 21 km of 400 kV underground cabling, some of which would be located through the Dedham Vale National Landscape (an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB1)
- Up to seven new CSE compounds (with permanent access) to connect the overhead lines to the underground cables
- Modification works to connect into the existing Norwich Main Substation and a substation extension at the existing Bramford Substation
- A new 400 kV substation on the Tendring Peninsula, referred to as the EACN Substation (with a new permanent access). This is proposed to be an Air Insulated Switchgear (AIS) substation
- A new 400 kV substation to the south of Orsett Golf Course in Essex, referred to as the Tilbury North Substation (with a new permanent access). This is proposed to be a Gas Insulated Switchgear (GIS) substation
- Modifications to the existing National Grid Electricity Transmission overhead lines to facilitate the connection of the existing network into the new Tilbury North Substation to provide connection to the Tilbury Substation
- Ancillary and/or temporary works associated with the construction of the Project.
- 1.2.2 In addition, third party utilities diversions and/or modifications would be required to facilitate the construction of the Project. There would also be land required for environmental mitigation and Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG).
- 1.2.3 As well as the permanent infrastructure, land would also be required temporarily for construction activities including, for example, working areas for construction equipment and machinery, site offices, welfare, storage and temporary construction access.
- 1.2.4 The Project would be designed, constructed and operated in accordance with applicable health and safety legislation. The Project will need to comply with design safety standards including the Security and Quality of Supply Standard (SQSS), which sets out the criteria and methodology for planning and operating the National Electricity Transmission System (NETS). This informs a suite of National Grid policies and processes, which contain details on design standards required to be met when designing, constructing and operating assets such as those proposed for the Project.

### 1.3 Format and Structure of this Document

- 1.3.1 This SoCG is structured as follows:
  - Section 2 provides a summary of the key engagement undertaken to date with NCC
  - Section 3 summarises the key matters and captures the status of each issue / matter
  - Section 4 includes the sign off sheet

## 2. Record of Key Engagement

- 2.1.1 National Grid has engaged with NCC on the Project throughout the pre-application process. This has included:
  - Non-statutory consultation in Spring 2022 and Summer 2023
  - Statutory consultation in Spring 2024
  - Targeted Consultations in Spring 2025
  - Regular meetings with lead officers about the Project as a whole
  - Regular 'Thematic Group' meetings bringing together host authorities to discuss specific topics
  - One to one / small group technical meetings on specific detailed matters
  - Sharing of papers and documentation at key stages
- 2.1.2 Further details on National Grid's engagement with stakeholders is provided in the Consultation Report and the Environmental Statement.

### 2.2 Summary of Key Engagement

2.2.1 Table 2.1 provides an overview of the key engagement that has taken place between National Grid and NCC.

Table 2.1 Summary of engagement between National Grid and NCC

Date	Format	Topic/Description
General		
April 2022 – Ongoing	Meeting	Monthly informal catch-up calls.
September 2022	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
November 2022	Meeting	Briefings on issued response to questions from OffSET with all host authorities.
November 2022	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
January 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
February 2023	Meeting	Pre-consultation session with all host authorities.
March 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.

Date	Format	Topic/Description
May 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
June 2023	Meeting	Non-statutory consultation preferred alignment briefing to all host authorities.
July 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
September 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
November 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
December 2023	Meeting	Discussions regarding the Waveney Valley and the Waveney Valley Alternative.
December 2023	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the draft Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) to all host authorities for comment.
January 2024	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
February 2024	Meeting	Statutory consultation preferred alignment briefing to all host authorities.
March 2024	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
March 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the SoCC to all host authorities for statutory consultation.
May 2024	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
September 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the draft Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) to all host authorities for comment.
October 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a meeting to discuss comments from stakeholders on draft versions of the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) and Outline CoCP.
November 2024	Meeting	Meeting to discuss National Grid's approach to targeted consultations
January 2025	Meeting	All host authority workshop
January 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the second iteration of the draft Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP)
January 2025	Meeting	National Grid held a meeting to discuss comments from the second iteration of the oCoCP and oLEMP
January 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the draft Statement of Common Ground (SoCG)
April 2025	Meeting	Meeting to go through host authority workshop updates (NCC unable to attend original workshop)

Date	Format	Topic/Description
May 2025	Meeting	All host authority workshop
May 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued an updated iteration of the draft Outline Code of Construction Practice oCoCP
May 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued a new appendix to the draft Outline Code of Construction Practice (oCoCP) - Appendix H, Draft Greenhouse Gas Reduction Strategy
May 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the Long List of Other Developments
Ecology and	Biodiversity	
July 2022	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared the Biodiversity Assessment Methodology and Arboriculture Assessment Methodology for review ahead of the Thematic Group meeting.
July 2022	Meeting	Ecology and Biodiversity Thematic Group meeting - National Grid presented on the Biodiversity Assessment Methodology and Arboriculture Assessment Methodology and sought feedback from NCC and other authorities.
August 2023	Meeting	National Grid discussed the potential off-site scheme/initiatives for BNG.
March 2024	Meeting	Biodiversity Thematic Group to discuss the methodology and scope of ecology surveys outside the remit of Natural England.
May 2024	Technical Note	National Grid issued a technical note to all host authorities outlining survey methods and the scope of surveys for species outside the remit of Natural England for agreement / comment.
May 2024	Meeting	Optional Thematic Group call.
September 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (oLEMP)
October 2024	Meeting	National Grid hosted a meeting to discuss comments from stakeholders on draft versions of the Outline LEMP and CoCP.
January 2025	Meeting	National Grid held a meeting to discuss comments from stakeholders on proposed mitigation for species outside the remit of Natural England
January 2025	Meeting	National Grid held a meeting to discuss comments received on the draft Biodiversity Net Gain Report
January 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared the second iteration of the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (oLEMP)

Date	Format	Topic/Description
January 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued a technical note to all host authorities outlining the protected species proposed mitigation measures for agreement/comment
January 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment Strategy
March 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the draft Arboriculture Impact Assessment (AIA)
April 2025	Meeting	Meeting to discuss comments from stakeholders on second iteration of proposed mitigation for species outside the remit of Natural England.
May 2025	Meeting	Meeting to discuss the updated Proposed Protected Species Mitigation document
May 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued an updated iteration of the draft Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (oLEMP)
May 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared a new appendix to the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (oLEMP) – Appendix D, Outline Landscape Proposals
Contaminated	l Land, Geology an	d Hydrogeology
August 2022	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued a draft Geology and Hydrogeology Assessment Methodology to all host authorities.
September 2023	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued a draft Geology and Hydrogeology Assessment Methodology to the Lead Local Flood Authorities (LLFA).
Health and Wo	ellbeing (including	Air Quality and Noise and Vibration)
September 2022	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the Health and Wellbeing Assessment Methodology to all host authorities.
September 2022	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the proposed methodology and scope of the Noise and Vibration assessment for review and comment.
September 2022	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the proposed methodology and scope of the Air Quality assessment for review and comment.
September 2023	Technical Note	National Grid issued a Health and Wellbeing technical note on the proposed approach to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), including guidance, study area, scope, and assessment methodology.
September 2023	Email Correspondence	NCC requested consideration of mental health during operation. NCC also requested that baseline data should be provided to aid further levels of detail where possible.

Date	Format	Topic/Description
September 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a meeting to discuss and agree the proposed assessment scope and methodology for the Health and Wellbeing chapter of the ES.
October 2024	Technical note	National Grid issued a refreshed Health and Wellbeing technical note on the proposed approach to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), including guidance, study area, scope, and assessment methodology.
Historic Enviro	onment	
July 2022	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued a document detailing the scope and methodology for the Historic Environment assessment and baseline to all host authorities and Historic England.
July 2022	Meeting	Historic Environment Thematic Group to discuss the proposed approach for the EIA assessment.
September 2022	Meeting	National Grid presented an updated approach to defining study areas, scoping of walkover and scoping of historic buildings to consider in the assessment, in response to feedback received.
January 2023	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the plans showing the proposed viewpoint locations for landscape and heritage ahead of the Thematic Group meeting in February 2023 to all host authorities.
February 2023	Meeting	National Grid held a meeting with all host authorities to discuss landscape and heritage viewpoints.
June 2023	Technical Note	National Grid issued a technical note to Historic England and host authorities to agree methodology for the selection of viewpoints for the Historic Environment assessment.
September 2023	Meeting	Historic Environment Thematic Group meeting to discuss the proposed heritage viewpoint methodology with all host authorities and Historic England.
November 2023	Meeting	Historic Environment Thematic Group meeting to discuss the proposed locations of heritage viewpoints with host authorities and Historic England. Feedback was received from stakeholders regarding proposed viewpoints and additional viewpoints were proposed.
November 2023	Meeting	Meeting with archaeological advisors to discuss the approach to geophysical survey and trial trenching.
November 2023	Meeting	Historic Environment Thematic Group meeting to discuss proposed locations of heritage viewpoints with all host authorities and Historic England. Viewpoint locations shared in PDF and shapefile.

	-	
Date	Format	Topic/Description
January 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared the updated viewpoints (including Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV)) for feedback from all host authorities, Natural England and Historic England.
March 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting with archaeological advisor from NCC in attendance.
March 2024	Technical Note	National Grid shared the Historic Environment Desk- Based Assessment for review and comment.
March 2024	Technical Note	National Grid shared the updated Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for Geophysical Surveys with the Archaeology Working Group Members.
May 2024	Meeting	Optional Statutory Consultation Thematic Group call.
May 2024	Technical Note	National Grid shared the WSI for Monitoring GI works under archaeological supervision with Archaeology Working Group Members for review and approval.
June 2024	Technical Note	National Grid shared the overarching WSI for the Archaeological Trial Trenching with the Archaeology Working Group Members for review and approval.
June 2024	Technical Note	National Grid shared the Site Specific WSI for the EACN (Site 001) with the Archaeology Working Group Members for information.
June 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting.
July 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting.
August 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting.
September 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting
October 2024	Meeting	Historic Environment Thematic Group Meeting.
October 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting.
October 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared the Setting survey locations with stakeholders.
November 2024	Meeting	Technical focus meeting to discuss the ongoing archaeology work on site.
December 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting
December 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid re-issued the overarching Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for Archaeological Trial Trenching
January 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting

Date	Format	Topic/Description
February 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued Historic Environment (HE) Viewpoints information
February 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting
February 2025	Meeting	Historic Environment Thematic Group Meeting regarding Historic Environment Viewpoints
February 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued a draft Historic Baseline Report including Annex C and Annex D for agreement/comment
February 2025	Meeting	Meeting to discuss draft Heritage Baseline Report
March 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued updated Historic Environment Viewpoints information
March 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting
April 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the Draft Outline Mitigation Strategy and draft Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for post-consent stage of the project
April 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting
May 2025	Meeting	Technical focus meeting to discuss ongoing archaeology work on site
Hydrology, La	nd Drainage and F	lood Risk
June 2022	Email Correspondence	National Grid circulated the draft Hydrology and Land Drainage Assessment Methodology for review and discussion ahead of the Hydrology and Land Drainage Thematic Group.
July 2022	Meeting	National Grid presented the EIA approach at the Hydrology and Land Drainage Thematic Group to the Environment Agency and all host authorities.
May 2023	Technical Note	National Grid issued a technical note for comment, which set out the approach to preparing the Flood Risk Assessment (FRA).
July 2023	Email Correspondence	Response to comments received on the technical note setting out the approach to preparing the FRA.
March 2024	Technical Note	National Grid issued the Flood Risk Assessment Screening Report for comment.
September 2024	Technical Note	National Grid issued the Works In, Over and Under Watercourses Technical Note and the Surface Water Management Principles Technical Note.
October 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a meeting to discuss comments from stakeholders on the principles for surface water drainage

	•	
Date	Format	Topic/Description
		design for above ground infrastructure and watercourse crossing designs for the Project.
October 2024	Meeting	Hydrology and Land Drainage Thematic Group Meeting
January 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the Draft Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) for agreement/comment
January 2025	Meeting	National Grid held a meeting to discuss comments raised from the draft Flood Risk Assessment (FRA)
March 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued Draft Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) for agreement/comment
Landscape an	d Visual	
July 2022	Meeting	Landscape and Visual Thematic Group Meeting. National Grid shared the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) Methodology and Arboricultural Assessment Methodology for review.
January 2023	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued plans showing proposed viewpoint locations for review and comment to all host authorities.
February 2023	Meeting	National Grid held a Landscape and Visual Thematic Group Meeting to discuss proposed viewpoint locations in Norfolk. National Grid sought agreement on the viewpoint locations to include in the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) and the ES.
April 2023	Meeting	National Grid held a meeting to discuss stakeholder's feedback on EIA viewpoints previously shared.
May 2023	Meeting	National Grid presented and discussed the responses to the feedback on the viewpoint locations received from the February meeting. Stakeholders (including NCC) provided feedback on updated and additional viewpoint locations at the meeting and in subsequent correspondence.
May 2023 – March 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared information, responded to further feedback on viewpoint locations received from the May 2023 meeting, and reviewed subsequent feedback received up to March 2024 with the aim to agree viewpoint locations for the PEIR and ES (based on the information available at this date).
August 2023	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued wirelines and photomontages and proposed the approach to ZTV mapping for comment.
January 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared the updated landscape viewpoints (and the ZTV) and sought feedback from all host authorities.
May 2024	Meeting	Optional Statutory Consultation Thematic Group call.

Date	Format	Topic/Description
September 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared the draft Landscape and Visual Methodology, Proposed LVIA Viewpoints (excel spreadsheet) and Proposed LVIA Viewpoints (map) ahead of the Landscape Thematic Group Meeting.
September 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a Landscape Thematic Group Meeting to find agreement on the LVIA methodology and the format/presentation of photomontages and/or wirelines which will form part of the DCO application.
September 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared the shapefiles for the landscape viewpoints and order limits with NCC and other stakeholders following the Landscape Thematic Group Meeting.
September 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared the draft Outline LEMP and Sample Mitigation Drawings ahead of the draft Outline LEMP and Outline CoCP discussion.
October 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a focus meeting to discuss the National Landscape.
October 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared the Draft mitigation drawings with stakeholders
October 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared the National landscape setting study with stakeholders
October 2024	Email Correspondence	National Grid shared updated view point information data following from the landscape thematic workshops
December 2024	Meeting	Meeting to agree photography locations and photomontages for the ES
March 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued an update on LVIA Viewpoints and Methodology
Socio-econon	nics, Recreation an	d Tourism
July 2022	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the assessment methodology to stakeholders for review ahead of the Thematic Group Meeting in July 2022.
July 2022	Meeting	National Grid held a Socio-economic, Recreation and Tourism Thematic Group Meeting to seek feedback on the proposed approach to the Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism assessment prior to formal submission of the Scoping Report to the Planning Inspectorate.
June 2023	Technical Note	National Grid issued a Technical Note setting out the study area and methodology for assessing businesses where visual impacts are a potential operational consideration, and PRoW during construction and operation.

Date	Format	Topic/Description
August 2023	Meeting	National Grid held a Socio-economic, Recreation and Tourism Thematic Group Meeting to discuss the study area and methodology for assessing businesses.
April 2024	Technical Note	National Grid shared an updated technical note with all host authorities to demonstrate how their feedback had been considered in developing the PEIR.
September 2024	Meeting	Meeting to discuss and agree the Scope and Methodology for the updated Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism Technical note on the ES Chapter.
November 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a follow up meeting to discuss and agree the Scope and Methodology for the updated Socioeconomics, Recreation and Tourism Technical note on the ES Chapter.
March 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the third Technical Note for Socio- economics, Recreation and Tourism
Traffic and Tr	ansport	
June 2022	Technical Note	National Grid issued a Technical Note setting out the proposed Traffic and Transport assessment methodology.
July 2022	Meeting	National Grid held the Local Highway Authority Thematic Group Meeting to discuss the proposed EIA methodology for the Traffic and Transport assessment.
September 2022	Meeting	National Grid held a Local Highway Authority Thematic Group Meeting.
December 2022	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group to discuss the assessment of routes for construction traffic.
August 2023	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group to discuss the highways assessment and methodology, survey requirements, road safety audit requirements and trip regeneration methodology.
August 2023	Meeting	Meeting discussing link sensitivity, traffic counts, Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AILs), and data and underlying assumptions behind traffic and workforce calculations.
September 2023	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group Regional Meeting.
November 2023	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group meeting with the Local Highways Authorities.
November 2023	Meeting	National Grid held a PRoW Thematic Group Meeting.

Date	Format	Topic/Description
January 2024	Meeting	National Grid discussed the Draft Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) (document reference 7.3) at the Transport Working Group Meeting.
March 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group Regional Meeting to discuss project updates and reviews of work to date, the transport assessment in the PEIR and primary access routes.
April 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group Meeting to discuss updates to the transport assessment, multi-modal transport considerations and AIL routing with the local highways authorities (including NCC).
June 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group Regional Meeting to discuss statutory consultation, sensitive junctions, AIL routing, updates on the multi-modal report and road safety audit process, speed surveys, and visibility splays, mitigation and traffic management.
July 2024	Email Correspondence	Proposed AIL Routes issued for comment.
July 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group Regional Meeting. Discussed planning applications and impact of access to Norwich Substation and need to understand duration of works/mitigation
August 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group Meeting. Discussion over DCO projects that may overlap the Project. Discussion held on staff worker movements and sensitivity of junctions in Norfolk.
August 2024	Meeting	Meeting to discuss the PRoW survey locations.
September 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group Regional Meeting. General structure and content of Transport Assessment (TA) was presented. National Grid provided an overview of the initial capacity assessment methodology using.
October 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group Meeting.
November 2024	Meeting	National Grid held a LHA Engagement Meeting to discuss potential changes following statutory consultation, visibility splays and traffic management methodology, access for existing utilities and AlLs.
January 2025	Meeting	National Grid held a Transport Working Group Regional Meeting. Presented examples of the likely environmental mitigation measures for junctions and Primary Access Routes predominately where walker, cyclist and horse rider (WCH) Amenity.

Date	Format	Topic/Description
February 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the Mitigation and Junction RAG Strategy
February 2025	Email Correspondence	National Grid issued the Draft Transport Assessment and Figures
March 2025	Meeting	Additional Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) Mitigation Meeting. Presented examples of the likely environmental mitigation measures following draft ES assessment of effects on Primary Access Routes predominately for WCH Amenity.
March 2025	Meeting	National Grid held a Regional Stakeholder Meeting with NCC. National Grid's position on various elements of the traffic and transport and highways design clarified.
April 2025	Meeting	National Grid held a NCC Highway Mitigation Workshop. Highway mitigation proposals on Wymondham Road were discussed.
April 2025	Meeting	National Grid held a Regional Stakeholder Meeting with NCC. AIL process, routes and agreement on approach sought ahead of DCO.
April 2025	Meeting	National Grid held a NCC workshop to discuss the Work in Progress Transport Assessment. NCC comments on the draft document were discussed.
May 2025	Meeting	National Grid held a Regional Stakeholder Meeting with NCC. The proposed Statement of Common Ground and principals for Traffic Regulation Orders were discussed.
June 2025	Meeting	National Grid held a Regional Stakeholder Meeting with NCC. Progress with Road Safety Audits, Statements of Common Ground, and Traffic Modelling were discussed.

# 3. Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion

### 3.1 Overview

- 3.1.1 This chapter details the matters relevant to NCC which have been agreed, not agreed or are under discussion between the parties. Matters are arranged by topic (using broad headings, or EIA chapter headings where appropriate) and each matter is given a unique reference number to aid identification.
- 3.1.2 The red, amber, green status shows the level of agreement with NCC. Descriptions of the different levels are summarised in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Agreement status for matters presented in Section 3

Status	Description
Not Agreed	Indicates a final position, where it has not been possible to resolve the issue to the agreement of both parties and there remains a difference of opinion.
Under Discussion	Indicates where issues are the subject of active on-going discussion.
Agreed	Indicates where an issue has been agreed or resolved satisfactorily to the agreement of both parties.

- 3.1.3 Engagement will continue as the Project develops and progresses through the various stages of the DCO process.
- 3.1.4 Sections that remain highlighted in yellow within these tables show points where both parties will seek to discuss and where possible agree a position in due course. The parties have been unable to do so at this stage because the relevant information is still being authored ahead of submission of the DCO application. These points will be the subject of ongoing discussion with stakeholders once the Environmental Statement and other relevant documentation is published.
- 3.1.5 Table 3.3 to Table 3.13 provides the matters agreed, not agreed or under discussion in relation to the various topics.

### 3.2 Project development, description and design

Table 3.2 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to project development, description and design matters

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
Strate	gic options/needs	case		
3.2.1	Needs case	Norwich to Tilbury is being proposed because the existing network in East Anglia doesn't have sufficient capacity to manage the expected (and in some cases, contracted) increase in offshore wind farms (and interconnectors) needing to connect to the grid as part of the Government's target of reaching net zero by 2050. The project sits alongside other work to reinforce and upgrade the existing network in East Anglia.  Norwich to Tilbury is listed as a key project in Appendix 2 of the NESO Clean Power 2030 Report.	NCC Response to Statutory Consultation (June 2024): The need for upgrading the electricity transmission network at both the national and local level is recognised and this proposal would assist in meeting the Government's objectives on net zero and delivering offshore wind power.	Agreed
3.2.2	Project timing	Timing for the project is driven by the needs case – when offshore wind farms are contracted to connect to the UK network – the first of which are contracted to connect in 2030. National Grid is legally obliged (under our Transmission Owner License) to provide capacity at the dates formally agreed in contracts with energy generators (or customers) by NESO.  Appendix 2 of the NESO Clean Power 2030 Report shows that the constraint costs associated with a delay to the project timing as being between £2.7 and £2.8 billion.	NCC Response to Statutory Consultation (June 2024): The Hiorns' Report commissioned by Norfolk, Suffolk and Essex County Councils concluded that while there is a demonstrable need for additional capacity to the existing network arising from new offshore wind generation and low carbon energy generation in the region (Sizewell C), it does not support the current delivery timetable of 2030 outlined by	Under discussio

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
			National Grid; and instead suggests additional capacity would not be needed until 2035+ Furthermore the Report suggests that the cost of the offshore alternatives have been over-estimated by National Grid.	
3.2.3	Onshore route	An onshore route allows for greater energy capacity and connectivity to feed into the grid. In assessing offshore options to deliver the same capacity as an onshore overhead line, we would need to build three subsea cables and associated infrastructure, which would add significant cost and not meet the needs case for Norwich to Tilbury.  Updated Strategic Options and Backcheck Review documents  published at each consultation compare the environmental, technical, socioeconomic and financial implications for alternative routes, including offshore alternatives.	The County Council's position (2024) remains as set out to previous consultation rounds and supports in principle an offshore option; or where this proves undeliverable then support should be given towards an onshore underground option as such the County Council continues its objection to the current proposal for an overhead power line (400 kV) between Norwich and Tilbury.	Under discussion
3.2.4	Predominantly overhead line route	Norwich to Tilbury has been designed in line with policy statement EN-5 (which covers the development of new energy infrastructure) which concludes that in most cases, the government expects that overhead lines will be appropriate and should be used as standard to reinforce the grid.  Updated Strategic Options and Backcheck Review documents published at each consultation compare the environmental, technical, socioeconomic and financial	NCC Response to Targeted Consultations (Feb 2025): Previous comments relating to alternative transmission alternatives have not been addressed in the current consultation and as such the County Council continues its objection to the current	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		implications for alternative routes, including underground alternatives.  The work undertaken shows that undergrounding, including using High Voltage Direct Cables (HVDC), would be significantly more expensive and have environmental impacts and present engineering challenges. Due to the higher price that would be involved in an underground alternative, we do not believe that this would be the most suitable option as all costs ultimately go onto domestic energy bills.	proposal for an overhead power line (400 kV) between Norwich and Tilbury. The County Council continues to support in principle either: an offshore option; or if this is proved undeliverable then an onshore option which is undergrounded.	
Projec	t development prod	cess - Design		
3.2.5	Waveney Valley	At statutory consultation in 2024, National Grid presented proposals for an overhead line across the Waveney Valley, along with an underground cable alternative for community and stakeholder feedback. After considering feedback, and the findings of our ground investigation (GI) and environmental surveys, the decision was made to proceed with an overhead line in this area. This decision considered a range of factors, including potential environmental impacts, planning policy, cost to consumers and alternate installation techniques for underground cables in response to GI surveys and the Waveney and Little Ouse Recovery project.	NCC Response to Targeted Consultations (Feb 2025):  NCC is extremely disappointed that plans for a potential "Waveney Valley Alternative" involving the undergrounding of the proposed power line under the River Waveney to the west of Diss have been dropped by National Grid earlier in the year in favour of an above ground solution.  National Grid has provided little detailed evidence as to why the above option has been dropped and this now raises specific concerns regarding the impact of the project in this very sensitive area.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status			
Projec	Project development process - Consultation						
3.2.6	2022 non- statutory consultation	Non-statutory consultation took place between 21 April 2022  – 16 June 2022. Details of this consultation are outlined in the Consultation Strategy, and responses to feedback received during consultation are included in the Feedback Report.  The non-statutory consultation was undertaken in accordance with the published Consultation Strategy.		Under discussion			
3.2.7	2023 non- statutory consultation	Non-statutory consultation took place between 27 June 2023 – 21 August 2023. Details of this consultation are outlined in the Consultation Strategy, and responses to feedback received during consultation are included in the Feedback Report.  The non-statutory consultation was undertaken in accordance with the published Consultation Strategy.		Under discussion			
3.2.8	2024 statutory consultation	Statutory Consultation took place from Wednesday 10 April 2024 to 26 July 2024 (the end date was extended from 18 June 2024 due to the general election.) Details of this consultation are outlined in the <u>Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC)</u> . Responses to feedback received during statutory consultation will be made available at DCO submission within the Consultation Feedback Report. The statutory consultation was undertaken in accordance with the published SoCC.		Under discussion			
3.2.9	2025 targeted consultation	Targeted consultations for Norfolk took place from 30 January 2025 – 3 March 2025. Details of these consultations are outlined in the <u>Targeted Consultation Strategy</u> and associated targeted consultation leaflets and environmental implications of change documents. Responses to feedback received during targeted consultation will be made available		Under discussion			

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		at DCO submission within the Consultation Feedback Report.  The targeted consultations were undertaken in accordance with the published Targeted Consultation Strategy.  The approach to targeted consultation was undertaken in		
		accordance with Section 50 of the Planning Act 2008 and associated guidance: Planning Act 2008: Pre-application stage for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (April 2024).		
Other n	natters as required			
3.2.10	Consideration of power delivery to Norfolk to support housing and employment growth	There is a need to reinforce the existing high voltage electricity network in the East Anglia region. It does not currently have the capability needed to transport reliably and securely the electricity that will be generated and connected to the electricity transmission network by 2030, while working to the required standards. The Project would benefit the UK as a whole, including local communities, by enabling the connection of new sources of renewable energy and in doing so provide greater energy security and resilience to the Norfolk energy network.	NCC seek assurances from National Grid that in the event of an onshore option being taken forward there needed to be demonstrable benefits for Norfolk in terms of providing power to communities and business to support planned growth. As currently set out, the Project would see energy passing through the County with none of the energy being used in Norfolk (2024) National Grid should engage with both NCC and UK Power Networks as part of the above project to consider what opportunities there are to provide power to Norfolk; and what additional infrastructure may be needed to secure such benefits.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
			It is felt that this should be undertaken in conjunction with the County Council's preparation of an Energy Plan; and National Grid should contribute towards the cost of such a Plan, particularly given that other major National Grid Projects are coming forward elsewhere in the Count	
3.2.11	Community benefits	In March 2025 the government announced guidance for delivering community benefit packages for communities hosting new, onshore transmission infrastructure projects. This guidance is clear that community benefit matters should be separate from, and not a material consideration in, the planning process. Outside of the DCO process, National Grid will work with stakeholders to understand the opportunity and delivery of this funding, in line with guidance.	NCC Response to Targeted Consultations (Feb 2025): National Grid have not addressed in this consultation round compensation for those residents and businesses adversely affected by the proposed project either during or after construction. It is understood that Government is still considering the wider compensation and community benefits arrangement needed to address the upgrading of the transmission network.	Under discussion

## 3.3 Ecology and Biodiversity

Table 3.3 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Ecology and Biodiversity

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status		
EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance						
3.3.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Ecology and Biodiversity assessment is presented in Chapter 2 (Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context) and Section 8.2 of Chapter 8 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion		
		All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.				
EIA – A	Approach and Meth	ods				
3.3.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed		
3.3.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 8.4 of Chapter 8 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion		
3.3.4	Assessment Methodology	The assessment methodology was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The assessment methodology was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed		
	Survey Methodology	The methodology for assessing Ecology and Biodiversity relevant to NCC was agreed through a technical note	The methodology for assessing Ecology and	Agreed		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		outlining survey methods and the scope of surveys for species outside the remit of Natural England.	Biodiversity relevant to NCC was agreed through a technical note outlining survey methods and the scope of surveys for species outside the remit of Natural England.	
3.3.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Ecology and Biodiversity assessment are summarised in Section 8.4 of Chapter 8 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the ES. The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – E	Baseline Conditions			
3.3.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Ecology and Biodiversity are presented in Section 8.5 of Chapter 8 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the ES. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – E	mbedded, Standard	d and Additional Mitigation Measures		
3.3.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Ecology and Biodiversity effects, are set out in Section 8.6 of Chapter 8 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the ES. Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
		In January 2025, National Grid issued a technical note to all host authorities outlining the protected species proposed mitigation measures for agreement/comment		
		In May 2025, National Grid held a further meeting to discuss comments from an updated proposed mitigation for species outside the remit of Natural England.		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status		
3.3.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential Ecology and Biodiversity effects during construction are summarised in Section 8.6 of Chapter 8 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the ES and set out in the Outline CoCP [document reference 7.2]. The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion		
3.3.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 8.6 of Chapter 8 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the ES. Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion		
EIA – A	ssessment Conclu	sions				
3.3.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 8.7 of Chapter 8 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the ES. The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion		
3.3.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 8.7 of Chapter 8 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the ES. The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion		
Draft D	Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring					
3.3.12	Outline CoCP	The Outline CoCP includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified in Chapter 8 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the ES and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.  Meeting held in October 2024 to agree on the structure for the Outline CoCP.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		Meeting held in March 2025 to discuss the second iteration of the Outline CoCP.		
		A further iteration of the Outline CoCP was issued in May 2025 following the meeting and feedback in writing.		
3.3.13	Outline LEMP	The LEMP includes all relevant operational related mitigation measures specified in Chapter 8 (Ecology and Biodiversity) of the ES and is appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
		Meeting held in October 2024 to agree on the structure for the Outline LEMP.		
		Meeting held in March 2025 to discuss the second iteration of the Outline LEMP.		
		A further iteration of the Outline LEMP was issued in May 2025 following the meeting and feedback in writing.		
Other n	natters as required			
3.3.14	Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG)	National Grid will deliver at least 10 % BNG with wider environmental and societal benefits on its construction projects. Offsite BNG will be delivered through collaboration with partners and purchased from commercially registered providers.  National Grid shared the Biodiversity Net Gain strategy with stakeholders in January 2025.		Under discussion
3.3.15	Arboriculture Impact Assessment (AIA)	National Grid issued the draft AIA in March 2025.		Under discussion

## 3.4 Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology

Table 3.4 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
EIA – I	Regulatory, Planni	ng Policy Context and Guidance		
3.4.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology assessment is presented in Chapter 2 (Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context) and Section 9.2 of Chapter 9 (Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology) of the ES.  All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA	Annyasah and Mat	assessment.		
	Approach and Met			
3.4.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed
3.4.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 9.4 of Chapter 9 (Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.4.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for assessing Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The methodology for assessing Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
			received from the Planning Inspectorate.  NCC noted in the Statutory Consultation Responses that: having examined the information within the PEIR and Appendix 9.2; the Mineral Planning Authority agrees with the methodology used to determine mineral safeguarding issues.	
3.4.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology assessment are summarised in Section 9.4 of Chapter 9 (Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology) of the ES. The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – E	Baseline Conditions			
3.4.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology are presented in Section 9.5 of Chapter 9 (Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology) of the ES. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – E	Embedded, Standard	d and Additional Mitigation Measures		
3.4.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology effects, are set out in Section 9.6 of Chapter 9 (Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology) of the ES. Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.		
3.4.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential effects during construction are summarised in Section 9.6 of Chapter 9 (Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology) of the ES and set out in the Outline CoCP [document reference 7.2]. The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.4.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 9.6 of Chapter 9 (Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology) of the ES. Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – A	ssessment Conclu	sions		
3.4.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 9.7 of Chapter 9 (Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology) of the ES. The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.4.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 9.7 of Chapter 9 (Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology) of the ES. The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
Draft D	CO / Outline Manag	gement Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
3.4.12	Outline CoCP	The Outline CoCP includes all relevant construction mitigation measures specified in Chapter 9 (Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology) of the ES and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		Meeting held on in October 2024 to agree on the structure for the Outline CoCP.		
		Meeting held in March 2025 to discuss the second iteration of the Outline CoCP.		
		A further iteration of the Outline CoCP was issued in May 2025 following the meeting and feedback in writing.		
Other	matters as require	ed		

### 3.5 Health and Wellbeing (including Air Quality and Noise and Vibration)

Table 3.5 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Health and Wellbeing

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
EIA – I	Regulatory, Planr	ning Policy Context and Guidance		
3.5.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Health and Wellbeing assessment is presented in Chapter 2 (Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context), Section 10.2 of Chapter 10 (Health and Wellbeing), Section 7.2 of Chapter 7 (Air Quality) and Section 14.2 of Chapter 14 (Noise and Vibration) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
		All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
EIA – A	Approach and Metho	ods		
3.5.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed
3.5.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 10.4 of Chapter 10 (Health and Wellbeing), Section 7.4 of Chapter 7 (Air Quality) and Section 14.4 of Chapter 14 (Noise and Vibration) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.5.4	Assessment methodology	A meeting was held on the 24th September 2024 to agree the assessment methodology of the Health and Wellbeing ES chapter.  National Grid issued the Health and Wellbeing Technical Note – Refreshed Approach on the 9th October 2024.		Under discussion
3.5.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with Health and Wellbeing are summarised in Section 10.4 of Chapter 10 (Health and Wellbeing), Section 7.4 of Chapter 7 (Air Quality) and Section 14.4 of Chapter 14 (Noise and Vibration) of the ES. The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – E	Baseline Conditions			
3.5.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Health and Wellbeing are presented in Section 10.5 of Chapter 10 (Health and Wellbeing), Section 7.5 of Chapter 7 (Air Quality) and Section 14.5 of Chapter 14 (Noise and Vibration) of the ES. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
EIA – E	Embedded, Standar	d and Additional Mitigation Measures		
3.5.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Health and Wellbeing effects, are set out in Section 10.6 of Chapter 10 (Health and Wellbeing), Section 7.6 of Chapter 7 (Air Quality) and Section 14.6 of Chapter 14 (Noise and Vibration) of the ES. Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.5.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential effects during construction are summarised in Section 10.6 of Chapter 10 (Health and Wellbeing), Section 7.6 of Chapter 7 (Air Quality), Section 14.6 of Chapter 14 (Noise and Vibration) of the ES and set out in the Outline CoCP [document reference 7.2]. The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.5.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 10.6 of Chapter 10 (Health and Wellbeing), Section 7.6 of Chapter 7 (Air Quality) and Section 14.6 of Chapter 14 (Noise and Vibration) of the ES. Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – A	Assessment Conclu	ısions		
3.5.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 10.7 of Chapter 10 (Health and Wellbeing), Section 7.7 of Chapter 7 (Air Quality) and Section 14.7 of Chapter 14 (Noise and Vibration) of the ES. The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
3.5.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 10.7 of Chapter 10 (Health and Wellbeing), Section 7.7 of Chapter 7 (Air Quality) and Section 14.7 of Chapter 14 (Noise and Vibration) of the ES. The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
Draft D	CO / Outline Manag	gement Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
3.5.12	Outline CoCP	The Outline CoCP includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in Chapter 10 (Health and Wellbeing), Chapter 7 (Air Quality) and Chapter 14 (Noise and Vibration) of the ES and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.  Meeting held in October 2024 to agree on the structure for the Outline CoCP.  Meeting held in March 2025 to discuss the second iteration of the Outline CoCP.  A further iteration of the Outline CoCP was issued in May 2025 following the meeting and feedback in writing.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under Discussion
Other n	natters as required			

### 3.6 Historic Environment

Table 3.6 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Historic Environment

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status	
EIA –	EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance				
3.6.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Historic Environment assessment is presented in Chapter 2 (Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context) and Section 11.2 of Chapter 11 (Historic Environment) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion	
		All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.			
EIA –	Approach and Metl	hods			
3.6.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.  The study area was also agreed through subsequent thematic group meetings where further comments were addressed.	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed	
3.6.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 11.4 of Chapter 11 (Historic Environment) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion	
3.6.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for assessing Historic Environment was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The methodology for assessing Historic Environment was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed	

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
3.6.7	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Historic Environment assessment are summarised in Section 11.4 of Chapter 11 (Historic Environment) of the ES. The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.  In February 2025, National Grid issued Historic Environments (HE) Viewpoints information and held a thematic group meeting on the topic.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
		In March 2025, National Grid issued an updated version of the Historic Environment (HE) Viewpoints information.		
EIA – I	Baseline Conditions			
3.6.8	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Historic Environment are presented in Section 11.5 of Chapter 11 (Historic Environment) of the ES. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.  February 2025, National Grid issued a draft Historic Baseline Report including Annex C and Annex D for agreement/comment and held a meeting on the topic.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – I	Embedded, Standard	d and Additional Mitigation Measures		
3.6.9	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Historic Environment effects, are set out in Section 11.6 of Chapter 11 (Historic Environment) of the ES. Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.6.10	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential effects during construction are summarised in Section 11.6 of Chapter 11 (Historic Environment) of the ES and set out in the Outline CoCP [document reference 7.2]. The standard	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.		
3.6.11	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 11.6 of Chapter 11 (Historic Environment) of the ES. Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – A	Assessment Conclu	sions		
3.6.12	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 11.7 of Chapter 11 (Historic Environment) of the ES. The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.6.13	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 11.7 of Chapter 11 (Historic Environment) of the ES. The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
Draft D	CO / Outline Manaç	gement Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
3.6.14	Outline CoCP	The Outline CoCP includes all relevant construction mitigation measures specified in Chapter 11 (Historic Environment) of the ES and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.  Meeting held in October 2024 to agree on the structure for the Outline CoCP.  Meeting held in March 2025 to discuss the second iteration of the Outline CoCP.  A further iteration of the Outline CoCP was issued in May	NCC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
		2025 following the meeting and feedback in writing.		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status	
Other	Other matters as required				
3.6.15	Overarching Written Scheme of Investigations (WSIs) for pre- consent geophysical surveys and archaeological trial trenching.	National Grid shared overarching WSIs in March – June 2024 and December 2024.  The scope and methodology of WSIs for geophysical surveys and archaeological trial trenching is considered appropriate and proportionate to the level of impact anticipated.		Agreed	
3.6.16	Site specific Written Scheme of Investigations (WSIs) for pre- consent geophysical surveys and archaeological trial trenching.	The scope and methodology of WSIs for geophysical surveys and archaeological trial trenching is considered appropriate and proportionate to the level of impact anticipated.  Further site specific WSIs will be issued in due course.		Under discussion	
3.6.17	Outline Mitigation Strategy and Outline WSI.	The contents of the Draft Mitigation Strategy and Outline WSI is considered appropriate and proportionate to the level of impact anticipated.  National Grid issued the Draft Outline Mitigation Strategy and draft Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for post-consent stage of the project in April 2025.		Under discussion	

## 3.7 Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk

Table 3.7 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status		
EIA –	EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance					
3.7.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk assessment is presented in Chapter 2 (Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context) and Section 9.2 of Chapter 12 (Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk) of the ES.  All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion		
		identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.				
EIA –	Approach and Meth	nods				
3.7.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed		
3.7.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 12.4 of Chapter 12 (Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion		
3.7.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for assessing Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The methodology for assessing Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status	
3.7.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk assessment are summarised in Section 12.4 of Chapter 12 (Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk) of the ES. The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion	
EIA –	Baseline Conditions				
3.7.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk are presented in Section 12.5 of Chapter 12 (Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk) of the ES. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion	
EIA –	EIA – Embedded, Standard and Additional Mitigation Measures				
3.7.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk effects, are set out in Section 12.6 of Chapter 12 (Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk) of the ES. Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion	
3.7.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential effects during construction are summarised in Section 12.6 of Chapter 12 (Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk) of the ES and set out in the Outline CoCP [document reference 7.2]. The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion	
3.7.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 12.6 of Chapter 12 (Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk) of the ES. Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion	

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
EIA – A	Assessment Conclus	sions		
3.7.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 12.7 of Chapter 12 (Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk) of the ES. The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.7.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 12.7 of Chapter 12 (Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk) of the ES. The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
Draft D	CO / Outline Manag	ement Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
3.7.12	Outline CoCP (including Flood Warning and Evacuation Plan)	The Outline CoCP includes all relevant construction mitigation measures specified in Chapter 12 (Hydrology, Land Drainage and Flood Risk) of the ES and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.  Meeting held in October 2024 to agree on the structure for the Outline CoCP.  Meeting held in March 2025 to discuss the second iteration of the Outline CoCP.  A further iteration of the Outline CoCP was issued in May 2025 following the meeting and feedback in writing.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
		Feedback received from NCC will be taken on board for the next iteration of the Outline CoCP.		
Other	matters as required			
3.7.13	Flood Risk Assessment (FRA)	The draft FRA was issued to stakeholders in January and March 2025.		Under discussion
		The scope, methodology, assessment and conclusions drawn in the FRA are considered appropriate and proportionate.		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		Comments received from the LLFA will be taken on board for the next revision of this document.		

## 3.8 Landscape and Visual

Table 3.8 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Landscape and Visual

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status		
EIA –	EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance					
3.8.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Landscape and Visual assessment is presented in Chapter 2 (Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context) and Section 13.2 Chapter 13 (Landscape and Visual) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion		
		All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.				
EIA –	Approach and Metho	ods				
3.8.2	Study area	A meeting was held on the 25 September 2024 to seek to agree the study area and discuss where changes had been proposed. A further meeting was held on the 27th November to follow up on any additional changes to the study area. The study area for the LVIA was agreed in the meeting held on 27th November 2024.	The study area for the Landscape and Viewpoint Impact Assessment was agreed in the meeting held on 27th November 2024.	Agreed		
3.8.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 13.4 of Chapter 13 (Landscape and Visual) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
3.8.4	Assessment methodology (including LVIA methodology and viewpoints)	A meeting was held on the 25 September 2024 to seek to agree the detailed methodology. A further meeting was held on the 27th November to follow up on any additional changes to the study area.  Assessment methodology for the Landscape and Viewpoint Impact Assessment was agreed in the meeting held on 27 <sup>th</sup> November 2024.	Assessment methodology for the LVIA was agreed in the meeting held on 27 <sup>th</sup> November 2024.	Agreed
3.8.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Landscape and Visual assessment are summarised in Section 13.4 of Chapter 13 (Landscape and Visual) of the ES. The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA –	Baseline Conditions			
3.8.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Landscape and Visual are presented in Section 13.5 of Chapter 13 (Landscape and Visual) of the ES. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA –	Embedded, Standard	d and Additional Mitigation Measures		
3.8.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Landscape and Visual effects, are set out in Section 13.6 of Chapter 13 (Landscape and Visual) of the ES. Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.8.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential Landscape and Visual effects during construction are summarised in Section 13.6 of Chapter 13 (Landscape and Visual) of the ES and set out in the Outline CoCP [document reference 7.2]. The standard mitigation is considered	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.		
3.8.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 13.6 of Chapter 13 (Landscape and Visual) of the ES. Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – A	Assessment Conclu	sions		
3.8.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 13.7 of Chapter 13 (Landscape and Visual) of the ES. The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.8.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 13.7 of Chapter 13 (Landscape and Visual) of the ES. The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
Draft D	CO / Outline Manaç	gement Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
3.8.12	Outline CoCP	The Outline CoCP includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified in Chapter 13 (Landscape and Visual) of the ES and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.  Meeting held in October 2024 to agree on the structure for the Outline CoCP.  Meeting held in March 2025 to discuss the second iteration of the Outline CoCP.  A further iteration of the Outline CoCP was issued in May 2025 following the meeting and feedback in writing.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
3.8.13	Outline LEMP	The Outline LEMP includes all relevant operational related mitigation measures specified in Chapter 13 (Landscape and Visual) of the ES and is appropriate.		Under discussion
		Meetings held in October 2024 to agree on the structure for the Outline LEMP.		
		Meeting held in March 2025 to discuss the second iteration of the Outline LEMP.		
		A further iteration of the Outline LEMP was issued in May 2025 following the meeting and feedback in writing.		
Other	matters as required			

## 3.9 Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism

Table 3.9 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
EIA –	Regulatory, Plann	ing Policy Context and Guidance		
3.9.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism assessment is presented in Chapter 2 (Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context) and Section 15.2 of Chapter 15 (Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism) of the ES.  All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
EIA –	Approach and Metho	ods		
3.9.2	Study area	The Scoping Opinion stated: "The Applicant should seek to agree the study area with the relevant local authorities".  A meeting was held in November 2024 to seek to agree this point in the Scoping Opinion. Technical Notes were shared in June 2023 and April 2024.  Following the meeting held in November 2024, it was agreed that the study area for businesses would be expanded from 1km to 3km to take into account potential visual effects on businesses.  A 3rd Technical Note was issued in March 2025 to seek to agree the study area and methodology.	Email dated 10 <sup>th</sup> October 2024 confirmed that NCC were in agreement on the scope and methodology regarding Socio-Economics, Recreation and Tourism as laid out in the Technical Note.	Agreed
3.9.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 15.4 of Chapter 15 (Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.9.4	Assessment methodology	The Scoping Opinion stated "The Applicant should seek to agree the study area with the relevant local authorities" and "The ES should detail the criteria used to identify businesses likely to be affected and the Applicant should seek to agree these with relevant local authorities".  A meeting was held in November 2024 to seek to agree this point in the Scoping Opinion. Technical Notes were shared in June 2023 and April 2024.  A 3rd Technical Note was issued in March 2025 to seek to agree the study area and methodology.	Email dated 10 <sup>th</sup> October confirmed that NCC were in agreement with the scope and methodology regarding Socio-Economics, Recreation and Tourism as laid out in the Technical Note.	Agreed
3.9.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Socio- economics, Recreation and Tourism assessment are summarised in Section 15.4 of Chapter 15 (Socio- economics, Recreation and Tourism) of the ES. The key	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.		
EIA –	Baseline Condition	ns		
3.9.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism are presented in Section 15.5 of Chapter 15 (Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism) of the ES. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA –	Embedded, Standa	ard and Additional Mitigation Measures		
3.9.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism effects, are set out in Section 15.6 of Chapter 15 (Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism) of the ES. Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.9.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential Socio- economics, Recreation and Tourism effects during construction are summarised in Section 15.6 of Chapter 15 (Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism) of the ES and set out in the Outline CoCP [document reference 7.2]. The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.9.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 15.6 of Chapter 15 (Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism) of the ES. Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status	
EIA – Assessment Conclusions  3.9.10 Construction The assessment of effects during construction is presented. NCC position pending sight					
3.9.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 15.7 of Chapter 15 (Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism) of the ES. The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion	
3.9.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 15.7 of Chapter 15 (Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism) of the ES. The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion	
Draft D	OCO / Outline Manag	ement Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring			
3.9.12	Outline CoCP	The Outline CoCP includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified in Chapter 15 (Socioeconomics, Recreation and Tourism) of the ES. and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.  Meeting held in October 2024 to agree on the structure for the Outline CoCP.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion	
		Meeting held in March 2025 to discuss the second iteration of the Outline CoCP.			
		A further iteration of the Outline CoCP was issued in May 2025 following the meeting and feedback in writing.			
Other r	matters as required				

## 3.10 Traffic and Transport

Table 3.10 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Traffic and Transport

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status		
EIA – R	EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance					
3.10.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Traffic and Transport assessment is presented in Chapter 2 (Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context) and Section 16.2 of Chapter 16 (Traffic and Transport) of the ES.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed		
		All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.				
EIA – A	Approach and Metho	ods				
3.10.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed		
3.10.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 16.4 of Chapter 16 (Traffic and Transport) of the ES	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed		
3.10.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for assessing Traffic and Transport was outlined through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	NCC requested that a separate PRoW chapter should be provided in the ES. Refer to Section 3.11 PRoW.	Under discussion		
3.10.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Traffic and Transport assessment are summarised in Section 16.4 of Chapter 16 (Traffic and Transport) of the ES. The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
EIA – B	aseline Conditions	3		
3.10.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Traffic and Transport are presented in Section 16.5 of Chapter 16 (Traffic and Transport) of the ES. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
EIA – E	mbedded, Standar	d and Additional Mitigation Measures		
3.10.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Traffic and Transport effects, are set out in Section 16.6 of Chapter 16 (Traffic and Transport) of the ES. Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
3.10.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential Traffic and Transport effects during construction are summarised in Section 16.6 of Chapter 16 (Traffic and Transport) of the ES and set out in the Outline CoCP [document reference 7.2]. The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
3.10.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 16.6 of Chapter 16 (Traffic and Transport) of the ES. Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
EIA – Assessment Conclusions				
3.10.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 16.7 (Residual Effects) of Chapter 16 (Traffic and Transport) of the ES. The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
Draft Do	CO / Outline Manaç	gement Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
3.10.11	Outline CoCP	The Outline CoCP includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified in Chapter 16 (Traffic and Transport) of the ES and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.  Meeting held on 9 <sup>th</sup> October to agree on the structure for the Outline CoCP.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
Transpo	ort Assessment (T	A) – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance		
3.10.12	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Traffic and Transport assessment is presented in Chapter 3 of the TA. All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
Transpo	ort Assessment – A	Approach and Methods		
3.10.13	Study Area	The study area comprises all roads along the PARs, Wider road network (SRN/MRN) that might experience changes in traffic patterns resulting from the Project, PRoW and WCH routes that interact with the haul roads within the Order Limits and the PARs as presented within Section 2.6 (Transport Assessment Study Area) of the TA. The Study Area is considered appropriate for the assessment.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
3.10.14	Multimodal Strategy	A multi-modal assessment has been undertaken to examine opportunities to use rail and water-borne transport modes to supply materials for the construction of the Project and reduce movements on the wider highway network as presented within Section 6.2 (Construction Traffic Generation and Routeing - Multi-modal Assessment) of the TA.  The multi-modal assessment is considered appropriate and sufficiently covers the need to consider transport impacts, as	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		set out within the Overarching National Policy Statement (NPS) for Energy EN-1 (2023).		
3.10.15	Junction Capacity Assessment Methodology	Preliminary junction capacity assessments have been undertaken to establish whether there is a requirement to carry out a full assessment of the junctions with a traffic model as presented Section 6.3 (Construction Traffic Assessment Methodology Road-only Transport Scenario) of the TA. This involved a preliminary assessment of the estimation of the volume to capacity (V/C) ratio that defines the performance threshold that classifies the operational status of each arm of the junction. 6.3.5 If the junction V/C ratio is approaching capacity, at capacity or over capacity, a traffic model is required.  The capacity assessment methodology used is considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
3.10.16	Junction Modelling	The methodology used for undertaking junction assessments is presented in Section 6.3 (Construction Traffic Assessment Methodology (Road-only Transport Scenario)) of the TA. The assessment methodology used is considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
3.10.17	Assessment of WCH	The assessment of WCH impacts as a result of the Project is presented in Section 7.4 (Walkers, Cyclists and Horse riders network) of the TA. This is based on the assessment undertaken within Section 16.7 (Residual Effects) and Appendix 16.4: Traffic and Transport Construction Effects of Chapter 16 - Traffic and Transport (document reference 6.16.A4). The assessment methodology used is considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
3.10.18	Impact on Parking	The methodology for the assessment of impacts to on-street parking is presented within Section 6.5 (on Street Parking) of the TA. This includes a case-by-case assessment of temporary suspensions of formal and informal kerbside	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status		
		parking by the Project along PARs and Abnormal Load routes. This methodology is considered appropriate.				
3.10.19	Road Safety	An assessment on Road Safety has been undertaken that thoroughly identifies the potential impact of the Project as set out in Section 4 (Baseline Conditions) of the TA. Collisions clusters have been identified along road links forming the PARs, based on existing baseline characteristics. A calculation of the accident rate per billion vehicle kilometres has been carried out on the road links forming the PARs to compare against the national statistics. Areas where potential road safety issues have been identified, as set out within Section 7 (Transport Assessment) of the TA, will be highlighted within the Driver's pack as part of mitigation measures secured within the CTMP (document reference 7.3). The assessment methodology used is considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed		
Transpo	ort Assessment – N	litigation Measures				
3.10.20	Mitigation Measures	Mitigation measures include embedded, standard and additional mitigation identified within Section 2.2 (Project Description) of the TA and as reported within Section 16.6 of Chapter 16 (Traffic and Transport) of the ES. This includes the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2), Outline CTMP (document reference 7.3) and additional mitigation at junctions and specific areas to help reduce the impact on capacity and provide environmental mitigation measures to reduce the significance of effects of the Project. The measures presented are considered appropriate.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion		
Transpo	Transport Assessment – Baseline Conditions					
3.10.21	Policy and Legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Transport Assessment is presented in Chapter 3 (Key Planning Policy Context) of the TA.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.		
3.10.22	Baseline conditions	The baseline conditions and sensitive receptors for Traffic and Transport are presented in Section 4 (Existing Baseline Transport Conditions) of the TA and are considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
Transpo	ort Assessment – F	uture Baseline Conditions		
3.10.23	Growth Factors	The future baseline traffic on the SRN / MRN has been estimated applying appropriate growth factors derived from TEMPro NTEM dataset v7.2 and are presented in Chapter 5 (Future Baseline) of the TA. Growth factors have been applied for the peak year of activity and therefore vary along PARs. This approach and the growth rate used is considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
3.10.24	Cumulative Developments	The committed developments included within the cumulative assessment for the future baseline year are presented in Chapter 5 (Future Baseline) of the TA. These have been derived through a review of local authority planning portals, information received from LPAs and identifying those developments that overlap with the peak year activity for each PAR. The developments included within the assessment are considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
Transport Assessment – Trip Generation				
3.10.25	Key Assumptions	Key assumptions associated with the Traffic and Transport assessment are summarised in Section 16.4 of Chapter 16 (Traffic and Transport) of the ES and Section 6.2 of Chapter 6 of the TA. The key assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
3.10.26	Methodology	The methodology for assessing the technical information received from the technical teams and generating the trip estimate is found within Section 6 (Methodology) of the TA is considered suitable and robust.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
3.10.27	Construction Vehicle Trips	Trip generation estimation has been undertaken to evaluate the daily traffic levels associated with construction activities for both the OHL and cables and substations. The estimation is based on a worst-case scenario, identifying peak-day vehicle movements for each construction activity to ensure a robust and conservative impact. This is presented in Section 6.2 (Construction vehicles overview) of the TA. The trip generation is considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
3.10.28	Construction Workforce Trips	Overhead Line, cabling and substation workforce trips have been estimated based on shared occupancy as presented in Section 6.3 (Construction Staff Overview) of the TA.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
		An Outline Construction Workers Travel Plan (CWTP) has been prepared as part of the DCO application and is contained as an appendix within the Outline CTMP (document reference 7.3). The Outline CWTP will be developed into a final detailed CWTP by the Main Works Contractor(s) following the submission of the DCO application. The workforce trip generation is considered appropriate.	·	
Transport Assessment – Conclusions				
3.10.29	Overall impact of the Project	The conclusions of the Transport Assessment are presented within Section 8 (Conclusion) of the TA. The conclusions are considered appropriate.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
Outline	Construction Traff	ic Management Plan (CTMP)		
3.10.30	Project Team Roles and Responsibilities	The Project Teams Roles and Responsibilities are set out in Section 3 of the CTMP. These are considered to be clear and sufficient for the delivery of the Project.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
3.10.31	Pre-and Post Construction Surveys	Details of the proposed Pre and Post Construction surveys are set out in Section 5.2 of the CTMP and connect to the mitigation measures detailed within the Outline Code of Construction Practice (COCP).	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
		These pre- and post-construction surveys are considered appropriate for the Project.		
3.10.32	Traffic Management Measures	Details of the proposed traffic management measures are set out in Section 5.8 of the CTMP. These traffic management measures are considered to be appropriate and adequate in terms of their nature and scale to address potential construction impacts.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
3.10.33	Implementation/ Enforcement	The implementation and enforcement process set out in Section 6 of the CTMP is considered to be appropriate and adequate for the Project.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
Outline	Construction Work	cers Travel Plan (CWTP)		
3.10.34	Policy	The policy context, including legislation and guidance considered in the development of the document is set out in Section 4 of the CWTP (Appendix B of the CTMP). All relevant legislation, policy, and guidance have been identified and appropriately considered to inform the CWTP.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
3.10.35	Site Accessibility Review	The Site Accessibility review is captured within Section 5 of the CWTP (Appendix B of the CTMP). This review is appropriate for the Project.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
3.10.36	Targets, Strategy, and Measures	Targets are set out within Section 6 of the CWTP (Appendix B of the CTMP). Strategy and Measures are set out within Section 8 of the CWTP.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		The construction targets set out are considered to be relevant and achievable, given the present stage of Project development.		
		The strategy and measures proposed to be implemented are suitable and appropriate for managing the anticipated construction staff travel impacts arising from the Project.		
3.10.37	Monitoring and Review	The proposed monitoring and review process is set out in Section 9 of the CWTP (Appendix B of the CTMP). This is considered to be suitable and appropriate, given the present stage of Project development.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
Constru	uction Access Strat	egy and Design		
3.10.38	Construction Access Approach	The approach for construction access for the Project is proposed to utilise designated routes for construction traffic on local roads. These are defined as 'Primary Access Routes' (PARs) within Section 5 of the CTMP. This approach is considered to be suitable for construction traffic for the Project.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
3.10.39	Primary Access Route Selection	Routes on local roads proposed to be utilised as Primary Access Routes (PARs) are shown in the Indicative Highway Mitigation Plans within Appendix C of the CTMP. These have been discussed with NCC during regular engagement meetings since September 2023. These PARs are considered to be suitable for use by the proposed construction traffic, considering the proposed mitigation measures detailed within the CTMP.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
3.10.40	Construction Access and Crossover Design	The proposed site access locations ('Access Bellmouths') and locations where construction traffic is proposed to cross the Public Highway ('Crossover Bellmouths') are set out in Schedule 9 – Access to Works and further shown in the Indicative Highway Mitigation Plans within Appendix C of the CTMP. These locations are considered to be suitable for	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		use by the proposed construction traffic as part of the Project.  The designs of the proposed accesses in these locations have been based on the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges CD123, and Stage 1 Road Safety Audits (RSAs) have been undertaken for each proposed location, overseen by NCC. These locations are considered to be suitable in principle, and remaining comments arising from the Stage 1 RSAs will be addressed in consultation with NCC as the Overseeing Authority.		
3.10.41	Highway Mitigation Design	Mitigation measures proposed on the Public Highway are described in Section 5 of the CTMP, and are further set out in the following DCO Schedules:  Schedule 6, Part 1 - Streets Subject to Permanent Alteration of Layout.  Schedule 6, Part 2 - Streets Subject to Temporary Alteration of Layout.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
		These are considered to be suitable and sufficient for the delivery of the Project.  Where measures have been identified which may require works outside of the Public Highway (categorised as 'Red' mitigations under Section 5.8 of the CTMP), specific designs have been developed for these locations. These designs were discussed with NCC during an engagement session in April 2025, and further to this, Stage 1 Road Safety Audits have been undertaken for each location, overseen by NCC. These locations are considered to be suitable in principle, and remaining comments arising from the Stage 1 RSAs will be addressed in consultation with NCC as the Overseeing Authority.		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
3.10.42	Traffic Management	Traffic Management measures proposed on the Public Highway are described in Section 5 of the CTMP, and further set out in Schedule 5 - Streets Subject to Streetworks. These are considered to be suitable and sufficient for the delivery of the Project.  Approaches to Traffic Management were discussed in principle during engagement session in June 2024, and further specific measures relating to highway mitigation design were discussed during an engagement session in April 2025.  National Grid will continue to engage with NCC as the proposed Traffic Management measures are developed further, including through the Road Safety Audit process where these relate to proposed site access and crossover design (ID 3.10.40), and highway mitigation design (ID	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft CTMP shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
3.10.43	Traffic Regulation Orders and Temporary Traffic Regulation Orders	<ul> <li>3.10.41).</li> <li>Proposed Traffic Regulation Orders (TROs) and Temporary Traffic Regulation Orders (TTROs) are shown in the Traffic Regulation Order Plans (document reference 2.4), and set out in Schedule 13 of the draft DCO (document reference 3.1):</li> <li>Part 1 - Temporary Restriction of Waiting and Restriction of Speed.</li> <li>Part 2 - Permanent Restriction of Waiting and Restriction of Speed.</li> <li>Part 3 - Temporary Restriction of Access.</li> <li>Part 4 - Temporary no Overtaking Order.</li> <li>These are considered to be suitable and sufficient for the delivery of the Project.</li> <li>National Grid will continue to engage with NCC as the proposed TROs and TTROs are developed further, including</li> </ul>	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		through the Road Safety Audit process where these relate to proposed site access and crossover design (ID 3.10.40), and highway mitigation design (ID 3.10.41).		
3.10.44	Proposed Construction Access on the A1066 via Diss and Thetford	National Grid have engaged with NCC around access proposals on the A1066 between Diss and Thetford. Following initial concerns raised by NCC around the use of the A1066 through Diss as a Primary Access Route, a study was undertaken into potential access arrangements for this area, and the findings were shared with NCC in July 2024. Further engagement has taken place around the anticipated traffic impacts on these routes in April 2025. Discussions are ongoing, with the intention of agreeing a suitable distribution of construction traffic on the A1066 between routes via Thetford and Diss, based on the results of traffic modelling undertaken.	Under discussion – pending further meeting to be held between NCC and NG	Under Discussion
3.10.45	Proposed Traffic Regulation Order Closure of Wymondham Road	National Grid have engaged with NCC around the proposed Primary Access Route (PAR) on Wymondham Road, west of Mulbarton. This route is shown as H01-A2 / H02-A1 on the Indicative Highway Mitigation Plans within Appendix C of the CTMP.  For the section of this route on Wymondham Road south of the B1113, a temporary mitigation scheme has been developed to facilitate use of the road by construction traffic. This is detailed in Section 5.8 of the CTMP. This includes a Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) proposed to close the impacted section for the duration of use by construction traffic – with public traffic to be diverted via the nearby Flordon Road – as set out in the Traffic Regulation Order Plans (document reference 2.4) and Schedule 13 of the draft DCO (document reference 3.1).  It is considered that this arrangement is suitable, and appropriate for the proposed construction access usage.	Under discussion – pending further meeting to be held between NCC and NG	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
Abnorm	bnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) Access			
3.10.46	Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) Access Approach	The approach for Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) access to the Project is to utilise designated routes on the local and Strategic Road networks. This approach is set out within Section 5 of the CTMP, and further detailed within the AIL Access Strategy (Appendix A of the CTMP). A draft version of the AIL Access Strategy was shared with NCC in March 2025.  This approach, in principle, is considered to be suitable for AIL access for the Project at the current stage of project development.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
3.10.47	Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) Access Routes	Routes proposed to be utilised by Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AILs) are shown in the AIL Access Strategy (Appendix A of the CTMP).  These have been developed following consultations with NCC. As part of these consultations, draft route information was shared in July 2024 and April 2025. National Grid will continue to engage with NCC as the proposed AIL access routes are developed further, including with respect to the ongoing structural investigations.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
3.10.48	Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) Structural Investigations	Routes proposed to be utilised by AlLs are shown in the AlL Access Strategy (Appendix A of the CTMP). National Grid have been consulting with NCC in relation to structures on the sections of the Local Road Network impacted by these proposed routes. As part of these consultations, draft route information was shared in July 2024 and April 2025, and information around impacted structures requested. Specific concerns raised by NCC in relation to structures on the draft routes shared have been considered in the development of the proposals shown in the AlL Access Strategy (Appendix A of the CTMP).	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		Engagement with respect to impacted structures is anticipated to be ongoing in parallel with the Development Consent Order process. It is understood that any Approvals in Principle secured at the current stage of project development do not remove requirements for formal application ahead of any AIL movements undertaken by the Project. The relevant process is set out within Section 6 of the AIL Access Strategy (Appendix A of the CTMP).  This approach is considered to establish in principle that the proposed AIL deliveries required for the Project are feasible, and that a quitable process is being undertaken in order to		
		and that a suitable process is being undertaken in order to facilitate the anticipated formal AIL movement applications anticipated during construction of the Project.		
		The AIL applications submitted prior to vehicle movements made by the Main Works Contractor will address structures affected, street furniture and any third-party land impacts as well as programming and operational arrangements to accommodate the movements with minimal impact on the network.		
3.10.49	Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) Mitigation and Management Measures	The locations of proposed mitigation measures associated with proposed AIL Routes are shown in the Indicative Highway Mitigation Plans within Appendix C of the CTMP. These mitigation measures have been developed consistently with the wider Highway Mitigation approach for the Project (ID 3.10.41), and are considered to be suitable and sufficient for the delivery of the Project.	NCC confirmed agreement in their response to the first iteration of the SoCG.	Agreed
		Management measures relevant to AIL movements are discussed within Section 5.3 of the CTMP, and Section 6 of the AIL Access Strategy (Appendix A of the CTMP). This approach, in principle, is considered to be suitable for AIL access for the Project at the current stage of project development.		

## 3.11 Public Rights of Way (PRoW)

Table 3.11 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Public Rights of Way

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
PRoW	Assessment Chapt	er		
3.11.1	PRoW Separate Chapter	As per the Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate, National Grid has not included a separate PRoW chapter in the Environmental Statement (ES).  Preparing a separate PRoW chapter is not standard practice (there are no previous examples) and would contain a lot of repetition. Multiple effects on single PRoWs will be assessed within the cumulative ES chapter. This approach has been discussed with NCC at several meetings.  Note that an Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan (item ID 3.11.3) has been prepared to set out the proposed approach to the management of PRoWs during construction of the Project.	NCC requested that a separate PRoW chapter should be provided in the ES.	Under Discussion
3.11.2	Types of PRoW	Types of PRoW intended to be considered as part of the Management Strategy were shared with NCC within Section 2.1 of the PRoW Methodology Statement document in April 2025. This list is considered to suitable, and no additional PRoW types are required to be captured. The PRoW types intended to be considered are:  • Formally designated PRoWs (footways, bridleways, restricted byways, and byways open to all traffic)  • Permissive paths  • Open access land  • Cycle tracks, including the National Cycle Network  • Other routes with public access.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft PRoW Management Plan shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		This is set out in Section 1.4 of the Outline PRoW Management Plan.		
3.11.3	Proposed Management Regime Approach	<ul> <li>The intended approach to management regimes to be considered as part of the Management Strategy were shared with NCC within Section 2.4 of the PRoW Methodology Statement document in April 2025. This established the following hierarchy of management measures:</li> <li>PRoWs to be kept upon existing alignments as a first preference, utilising management measures if required.</li> <li>Where maintaining existing PRoW alignments is not practicable, diversions along the shortest suitable route will be proposed.</li> <li>Where no suitable diversion routes are identified, PRoW closures will be considered as a last resort.</li> </ul>	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft PRoW Management Plan shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
		This is set out in Section 3 of the Outline PRoW Management Plan.		
3.11.4	Routes with Public Access Affected by the Proposed Development	Routes with public access affected by the proposed development are shown in the Access, Rights of Way and Public Rights of Navigation Plans. Details of proposed management measures for each impacted route are shown in Section 4 of the Outline PRoW Management Plan. These are considered to be suitable, in line with the Proposed Management Regime Approach (ID 3.11.3) set out above.	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft PRoW Management Plan shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion
3.11.5	Reinstatement Approach	All PRoWs impacted by construction works will be reinstated to at least the same condition as prior to the works being undertaken. Pre- and Post-Condition Surveys will be undertaken in support of this, and any remediation works will be undertaken in consultation with NCC PRoW Officers as well as impacted landowner(s).	NCC refers to comments raised on the draft PRoW Management Plan shared in May 2025.	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		This is set out in Section 3 of the Outline PRoW Management Plan.		

#### 3.12 **Cumulative Effects**

Table 3.12 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Cumulative Effects

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
EIA – R	egulatory, Planni	ng Policy Context and Guidance		
3.12.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Cumulative Effects assessment is presented in Chapter 2 (Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context) and Section 17.2 of Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
		All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.		
EIA – A	pproach and Metl	hods		
3.12.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed
3.12.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 17.4 of Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.12.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for assessing Cumulative Effects was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The methodology for assessing Cumulative Effects was agreed through	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
			the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	
3.12.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Cumulative Effects assessment are summarised in Section 17.4 of Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES. The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – B	aseline Conditions			
3.12.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Cumulative Effects are presented in Section 17.5 of Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – E	mbedded, Standard	d and Additional Mitigation Measures		
3.12.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Cumulative Effects, are set out in Section 17.6 of Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES. Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.12.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential Cumulative Effects during construction are summarised in Section 17.6 of Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES and set out in the Outline CoCP [document reference 7.2]. The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.12.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 17.6 of Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES. Additional mitigation is considered	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
		appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.		
EIA – As	ssessment Conclu	sions		
3.12.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 17.7 of Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES. The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.12.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 17.7 of Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES. The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	NCC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
Draft DC	O / Outline Manag	gement Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
3.12.12	Outline CoCP	The Outline CoCP includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified in Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.  Meeting held in October 2024 to agree on the structure for		Under discussion
		the Outline CoCP.  Meeting held in March 2025 to discuss the second iteration of the Outline CoCP.		
		A further iteration of the Outline CoCP was issued in May 2025 following the meeting and feedback in writing.		
Other m	atters as required			

## 3.13 Development Consent Order

Table 3.13 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Development Consent Order

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Norfolk County Council's Position	Status
3.13.1	DCO Requiremen	its		
	DCO Wording			
Other n	natters as required	l		

#### 3.14 Other Matters

# 4. Confirmation of Agreement

specified below.
Signed for and on behalf of National Grid:
Date:
Signed for and on behalf of Norfolk County Council:
Date:

The above SoCG is agreed between National Grid and Norfolk County Council on the date

## **Abbreviations**

Abbreviation	Full Reference
AIL	Abnormal Indivisible Loads
AIS	Air Insulated Switchgear
AOD	Above Ordnance Datum
AONB	Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
BNG	Biodiversity Net Gain
CoCP	Code of Construction Practice
CSE	Cable Sealing End
CTMP	Construction Traffic Management Plan
DCO	Development Consent Order
EACN	East Anglia Connection Node
ЕНО	Environmental Health Officer
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ES	Environmental Statement
GI	Ground Investigation
GW	Gigawatt
LLFA	Lead Local Flood Authority
LVIA	Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment
NCC	Norfolk County Council
NCR	National Cycle Route
NETS	National Electricity Transmission System
NPSs	National Policy Statements
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
PRoW	Public Right of Way
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground
SoCC	Statement of Community Consultation
SPZ	Source Protection Zone
WFD	Water Framework Directive
WSI	Written Scheme of Investigation
Zol	Zone of Influence
ZTV	Zone of Theoretical Visibility

National Grid plc National Grid House, Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick. CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales No. 4031152 nationalgrid.com